

CANDIDACY REVIEW TEAM EVALUATION

Participant _____ Date _____

(5 represents excellent; 1 represents inadequate)

1.	Does the program focus adequately reflect an intersecting of the needs of the context and the interests of the participant?	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Is the ministry focus well developed?	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Is the theological foundation of sufficient scope and depth to reflect a mature understanding of the relation of the focus to Christian ministry?	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Is the summary of learnings needed by the participant and persons in the context adequate to achieve the goals of the participant and the context?	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Does the resource review demonstrate adequate knowledge of what is being done within the participant's specialization?	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Is the writing clear, consistent and in Turabian style?	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Are the goals clear?	5	4	3	2	1

Written comments (Use back of page for additional comments):

Recommendation: _____ Candidacy be granted
 _____ Candidacy be granted with conditions
 _____ Additional work needed prior to another candidacy review
 _____ Candidacy be denied

Faculty Mentor _____

Faculty Consultant _____

Peer Seminar Representative _____